



.....
.....
.....
.....

.

.

.

-

.

-

:

1	1.1
2	2.1
3	3.1
4	4.1
4	5.1
4	6.1

:

5	1.2
23	2.2
23	1.2.2
30	2.2.2
33	3.2

:

34	1.3
34	2.3
34	3.3
36	4.3
37	5.3

38	6.3
38	7.3
39	8.3
	:
40	1.4
50	2.4
54	3.4
55	
60	

34		1
35		2
35		3
36		4
38	(Cronbach's Alpha)	5
41		6
42	(t)	7
43		8
44	(One Way Anova)	9
45		10
46	(One Way Anova)	11
47		12

	(One Way Anova)	
48		13
49	()	14

60

65

70

2013

. 111

:

.1

.(0.83578) (3.1234)

(3.2973)

(3.2923)

(1.01514)

(3.1047)

(0.94731)

()

(1.09058)

(0.99625) (0.88019)

(3.0691)

.(1.05978) (2.9114)

.2

)

.(

.3

)

.

(

()

.

:

.

.

Abstract
Perceptions of Employees in the Institutions for the Protection of the
Family about Violence against Jordanian Women

Aysha AL- Manaja'h
Mu'tah University 2013

The study aimed to identify the perceptions of workers in institutions for the protection of the family about violence against Jordanian women, in order to achieve this goal, the questionnaire was developed and distributed to a sample that consists of 111 participants who were male and female. The appropriate statistical methods were used to conclude the results of the study. The study concluded to the following results:

1. The Perceptions of the workers in the institutions for the protection of the family about violence against Jordanian women came medium with a mean (3.1234) and a standard deviation (.83578). It was followed by the first economic violence with a mean (3.2973) and a standard deviation (1.01514), it was followed by psychological violence with a mean (3.2923) and standard deviation (.94731), then sexual violence came with a mean (3.1047) and a standard deviation (1.09058), (physical violence, and negligence) came in fourth place with a mean (3.0691) with a standard deviation respectively (.88019), (.99625), and health violence came in last place with a mean (2.9114) and standard deviation (1.05978).

2. There is no statistically significant differences in the perceptions of workers in institutions for the protection of the family about the forms of violence against Jordanian women that due to (gender, age, years of experience).

3. There is no statistically significant differences in the perceptions of workers in institutions for the protection of the family about violence against Jordanian women (physical violence, psychological violence, sexual violence, negligence, the health violence,) that attributed to the variable of educational qualification. There were differences in the perceptions of employees about economic violence against Jordanian women that due to the variable educational qualification.

The study concluded a number of recommendations including: increase the legal penalties on couples who are practitioners of violence in all its forms. Then establish the auspices homes and shelters for the wives actually to resort them to violence in cases of extreme necessity.

: 1.1

.

)

.(2005

.

()
(1994)

.

.

.

.

: **2.1**

) (2004) (2007) (2010)
(2004

:

:

.

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

:

3.1

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.4

.

: **4.1**

:

.1

.

.2

.

: **5.1**

:

.

.

:

.

: **6.1**

)

.2013/2012 (

1.2 :

:

)

.(2005

).(1995)

.

)

).(1994

:

(2005)

.1

.

.2 :

.

.(1995)

Schiffman & Kanut,)

.(2000

.(2005)

.(2008)

.

:

.1 :

.(2005)

.2 :

.

.3 :

.

) : .4

.(1999

:

:

.1 :

.

.

.

.

: .2

.(1999)

: .3

.

.

.(2005)

•

•

$$\vdots$$

.1

.(

)

.2

:

.

:

.

)

.(2008

))

:

.3

.(2000)

:

(Violential)

.(1992)

.(1987)

" (58 :1999)

."

.(WHO,2002:3)

.(2004)

" (17 :2006)

."

:

(1970)

.

.

.(2000)

.(2006)

"

.(15 : 2006) "

"

:2000) "

.(21

:

:

:

.1

.(2002)

()

)

.

(

:

.(2001)

.

.(2010)

)

.(2006

: **.2**

)

.(2003

)

) (

.(2004

.(2006)

.(2010)

: **.3**

.(2007)

:

)

)

(

(2006)

.(2004

.

.

: **.4**

.

.

.(2010)

.(2006)

: **.5**

:

.(2006)

)

.(2006

: **.6**

(2002)

.(%10.3)

(%8.22)

:

(2005) :

.1

.

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

.6

.

.

.7

%45

.

.8

.9

:

.(2006)

.(2006)

:

(BPFA)

:

(BPFA)

(CEDAW)

(CRC)

) :

(2005

)	.1
	.(
	.	.2
	.	.3
	.	.4
	.	.5
	.	
	.	.6
	.	
	.	.7
	.	
	.	.8
	.	.9
	.	
	.	.10
	.	
	.	.11
	.	
	:	
	:	
"	"	

(1920)

.

.(2008)

:()

() ()

"

() ."

Gelles)

.(&Strause ,1989 :25

:

(Dollard)

(Caesar)

(Miller)

. :
 . :
)
 (41 :2004
 :
 .
 .

)

.(2004

:

1971

(Goode)

() .

.(Goode,1971: 625)

:

.

.

)

.(Chornesky, 2000:486

: **2.2**

: **1.2.2**

: (2011)

.

(300)

.

.

:

.

:

.

:

.

: (2008)

()

()

() .() (83)

.

:

%19

%68

.

%13

):

.(-)
: (2008)

.

(300)

.

(50-15)

.

.

.

.

.

) :

.(

.

.

.

.

.

.

:

(2005)

.

12

200

%59

%72,5

%76,5

%70

.

: (2005)

.(MMPI)

(252) .

) (50)

(

.

(2005)

:

.

()

.

(2004)

(86)

.

()

.

:

.

:

(2004)

.

(350)

.

.

.

(2003)

.

(995) 2001
(100)

.

.

(2001)

200

(%25.3)

: .(%16,3)

.

.

(39-30)

.

: **2.2.2**

(Gange & Herbert, 2005)

)

(

(16.3)

917

.%45 %13

.%37 %25

: (Yoshihama, 2003)

Douki & Nacef & Belhadj & Bouasker & Ghachem,)

(2003

Wilson & McFarlane&)

: (Malecha& Lemmey& Schultz, 2000

()

180

30

90

21

90

:

.

.

.

Holtizworth, M, & Smutzler, N&)

(Bates, L, & Sandin, 1997

.

.

Shifra & &Ofranson)

(Sagy,1995

(162)

(280)

(Sorka)

.

:

3.2

: 1.3

: 2.3

(450)

: 3.3

(120)

(%27)

115

(%92.5) 111

: (%25.6)

(1)

%	
53.2	59
46.8	52
100.0	111

(1)

%53.2

%46.8

(2)

%		
44.1	49	30
45.9	51	40-31
9.9	11	50-41
-	-	51
100.0	111	

%45.9 (2)

%44.1 (40-31)

(30)

50-41) %9.9

.(

(3)

%		
35.1	39	5
43.2	48	10-6
8.1	9	15-11
13.5	15	16
100.0	111	

%43.2 (3)
 %35.1 (10-6)
 %13.5 (5)
 (16)
 %8.1
 .(15-11)
(4)

%	
27.0	30
24.3	27
44.1	49
4.5	5
100.0	111

%44.1 (4)
 %27.0
 %24.3
 %4.5

4.3 :

:		:
) :
		.(
50 :		
:		
.	(12-1)	.1
.	(21-13)	.2
.	(29-22)	.3
.	(38-30)	.4
.	(44-39)	.5
.	(50-45)	.6
(Likert)		
:		
4	5)
	3	
	.(

5.3 :

- .1
- .2
- .

.3

.4

(Spss)

6.3

:

()

()

(%80)

.()

7.3

:

(Cronbach's Alpha)

(0.973)

(0.924 -0.891)

:

(5)

(Cronbach's Alpha)

0.918

0.915

0.905

0.924

0.914

0.891

0.973

: **8.3**

:(SPSS.V-16)

.1

. ()

(t) (One Way Anova) **.2**

.

: **1.4**

:

.

:

(2.49-1) (3.49-2.5) (3.5)
(3.5)

(3.49-2.5)
(2.49-1)

:

.

4	0.88019	3.0691	1
2	0.94731	3.2923	2
3	1.09058	3.1047	3
5	0.99652	3.0691	4
1	1.01514	3.2973	5
6	1.05978	2.9114	6
-	0.83578	3.1234	-

$$\begin{array}{rcc} & .(0.83578) & (3.1234) \\ (1.01514) & & (3.2973) \\ & (3.2923) & \end{array}$$

(0.94731)

(1.09058) (3.1047)

(3.0691) (

(0.99625) (0.88019)

(1.05978) (2.9114)

:

(7)

(t)

t			
0.114	1.594-	0.75281	2.9449
		0.99419	3.2099
0.384	0.874-	0.79910	3.2185
		1.09358	3.3761
0.597	0.531-	0.94692	3.0530
		1.24054	3.1635
0.518	0.649-	0.88353	3.0113
		1.11618	3.1346
0.636	0.474-	0.89825	3.2542
		1.14039	3.3462
0.850	0.189	0.99144	2.9294
		1.14184	2.8910

0.387	0.869-	0.67266 0.99080	3.0586 3.1969
-------	--------	--------------------	------------------

α)

(7)

$(0.05 \geq$

(t)

$.(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

:

.

(8)

0.79675	3.0340	30
0.96717	3.1928	40-31
0.72247	2.6515	50-41
0.88019	3.0691	
0.89010	3.2562	30
1.02055	3.4009	40-31
0.81553	2.9495	50-41
0.94731	3.2923	
1.11267	3.1403	30
0.98028	3.1067	40-31
0.86314	3.2993	50-41
0.89038	3.0006	30
0.96033	3.2947	40-30
0.68858	2.9943	40-31
0.66978	2.9614	50-41
0.99852	3.0699	30
0.96448	3.3688	40-30
0.68060	3.8343	40-41

0.83578	3.1234
---------	--------

(8)

:

(One Way Anova)

(9)

(One Way Anova)

F				
0.169	1.807	1.379	2	2.759
		0.764	108	82.461
			110	85.220
0.339	1.093	0.979	2	1.958
		0.896	108	96.757
			110	98.714
0.361	1.027	1.221	2	2.442
		1.189	108	128.387
			110	130.829
0.303	1.208	1.195	2	2.390
		0.989	108	106.846
			110	109.236
0.799	0.225	0.2350	2	0.470
		1.045	108	112.886
			110	113.356
0.540	0.619	0.700	2	1.400
		1.131	108	122.146
			110	123.546
0.438	0.831	0.583	2	1.165
		0.701	108	75.672
			110	76.837

α)

(9)

(0.05 \geq

(f)

$\alpha \geq 0.05$

:

.

(10)

0.75304	3.0534	5
1.01463	3.1892	10-6
0.72182	3.0463	15-11
0.79174	2.7389	16
0.88019	3.0691	
0.67883	3.4672	5
1.16748	3.2940	10-6
0.84620	2.8519	15-11
0.75109	3.0963	16
0.94731	3.2923	
0.86516	3.2340	5
1.37196	3.0052	10-6
0.77308	2.8750	15-11
0.72303	3.2250	16
1.09058	3.1047	
0.77053	3.1567	5
1.23137	3.0856	10-6
0.70297	2.5062	15-11
0.76735	3.1259	16
0.99652	3.0691	
0.81803	3.4573	5
1.25051	3.1597	10-6
0.52997	3.1481	15-11
0.84013	3.4111	16
1.01514	3.2973	
0.98911	3.0214	5
1.22377	2.8576	10-6
0.85842	2.5741	15-11
0.77152	3.0000	16
1.05978	2.9114	
0.60644	3.2200	5
1.06241	3.1167	10-6
0.62341	2.8422	15-11
0.63078	3.0627	16
0.83578	3.1234	

(10)

(One Way Anova)

(11)

(One Way Anova)

F				
0.392	1.008	0.781	3	2.343
		0.775	107	82.878
			110	85.220
0.273	1.317	1.172	3	3.516
		0.890	107	95.198
			110	98.714
0.681	0.503	0.606	3	1.819
		1.206	107	129.011
			110	130.829
0.360	1.081	1.071	3	3.213
		0.991	107	106.023
			110	109.236
0.531	0.739	0.767	3	2.301
		1.038	107	111.055
			110	113.356
0.674	0.513	0.584	3	1.752
		1.138	107	121.793
			110	123.546
0.660	0.534	0.378	3	1.133
		0.708	107	75.705
			110	76.837

(11)

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

(f)

$.(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

:

(12)

0.77388	2.9194
0.97931	3.2870
0.86847	3.0935
0.91969	2.5500
0.88019	3.0691
1.04221	3.1259
0.96586	3.4568
0.90806	3.3107
0.66667	3.2222
0.94731	3.2923
1.09735	2.8292
1.19209	3.1389
1.03805	3.3112
0.57009	2.5500
1.09058	3.1047
0.92614	2.9111
1.03195	3.0535
1.02876	3.2154
0.90267	2.6667
0.99652	3.0691
1.02841	3.0278
1.08323	3.3951
0.92452	3.5034
0.75829	2.3667
1.01514	3.2973
1.10336	2.6722
1.14261	3.0556
0.99377	3.0374
0.73598	2.3333
1.05978	2.9114
0.78361	2.9240
0.93991	3.2370
0.80588	3.2318
0.63646	2.6440
0.83578	3.1234

(12)

: (One Way Anova)

(13)

(One Way Anova)

F				
0.232	1.451	1.110	3	3.331
		0.765	107	81.890
			110	85.220
0.624	0.588	0.534	3	1.602
		0.908	107	97.112
			110	98.714
0.172	1.696	1.979	3	5.938
		1.167	107	124.892
			110	130.829
0.457	0.874	0.871	3	2.614
		0.996	107	106.622
			110	109.236
0.033	*3.020	2.950	3	8.849
		0.977	107	104.507
			110	113.356
0.241	1.419	1.575	3	4.726
		1.110	107	118.819
			110	123.546
0.198	1.584	1.089	3	3.267
		0.688	107	73.571
			110	76.837

($0.05 \geq \alpha$)

*

(13)

($0.05 \geq \alpha$)

)

(f) (

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

()

()

(3.020)

)

.

(

:

(14)

()

*0.66111	*0.47562-	0.36728-	-	3.0278
*1.02840	0.10834-	-	-	3.3951
*1.13673	-	-	-	3.5034
-	-	-	-	2.3667

(0.05 ≥ α) *

:

2.4

.1

()

.

(2011)

(2008) .

.

(2007)

(2006) .

.

(2005)

(2005) .

) (2004) .

(

(2001) .

.

.

(Gange & Hebert, 2005)

Douki & Nacef & Belhadj &)

Bouasker & Ghachem, 2003

Wilson & McFarlane& Malecha&)

Lemmey& Schultz, 2000

Holtizworth, M, & Smutzler, N& Bates, L, & Sandin,)

1997

(Goode)

.2

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

$\alpha)$

.3

$(0.05 \geq$

(2011)

(2008)

.4

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

.5

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

)

(

$\geq \alpha)$

.6

$(0.05$

()

()

.

: 3.4

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

:

-

: .2 .(1992)
 .
 .(2004)
 .
) .(2006
 . (.(2005)
 .
 .
 .
 (2005)
 .
 .(2004)
 :
 .(2005)
 .
 .(2004)
 :
 .
 .(1987)
 .5 3
 .(2005)
 .
 .
 .(2005)
 .(MMPI)

.(2008)

1 .

.(1995)

(2001)

.(2007) .

www.4jam3a.net/montada.htm :

.(2003)

.()

.(2006)

.10-12-7-2006

(1994). العنف ضد المرأة ظاهرة عامة أم

خاصة

.(1994)

.(2011)

.(2005)

.78

(1990)

.(2010)

-	-	2010	22-20	.
	:	.1		.(2004)
				.(2000)
			:	.(2003)
				.(2004)
	3			.(2005)
				.(2002).
		:	.1	
		:		.(1999)
1				.(2008)
				.(2000)
		3		
	.21			.(2000)
				.(2004)
:				

- 1 . .(1999)
- .(2008)
- ()
- :
-
- Chornesky, A,(2000), The Dynaimies of Battering Revisited, *Affilia, Journal of Women and Social Work*, 15, pp: 480-501.
- Douki S; Nacef F; Belhadj A; Bouasker A; Ghachem R, (2003). Violence against women in Arab and Islamic countries. *Faculty of Medicine of Tunis, Tunis, Tunisia. Archives of women's mental health. [Arch Women Ment Health]* 2003 Aug; Vol. 6 (3), pp. 165-171.
- Gange, F & Hebret, M. (2005). Victimization during child hood and Revictimization in Dating Relation ships in Adolescent Girls. *Child Abuse and Neglect: The international journal*. V (29), N (10), (pp 1155- 1172).
- Gelles R.J&Strause M.A. (1989) , *Physical Violence in American Families, Risk Factor and Adaption to Violence*, New Brunswick N.
- Goode, W, (1971), *Force and Violence in the family*, *journal of marriage and the family*, 33K pp: 624-636.
- Holtizworth, M, & Smutzler, N& Bates, L, & Sandin, E, (1997), *Husband Violence : Basic Facets and Clinical Implications*, In, W, Halford and H, Markman (eds), *Clinical Hand book of Marriage and Couples Interventions* , pp: 129-202.
- Ofranson. F& shifra D Saggy, M (1995). *Martial Violence, Comparing Women in Violent and Nonviolent Unions*, *women relation*, 48(30), p: 315-336.
- Schiffman, L & Kanut, L(2000). *Consumer Behavior*, 7Ed, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall.
- WHO.(2002).*world Report on violence and Health* , Edited by Etienne , G.Krug , lindal.Dahlberg , James A.mercy , Anthony , B.zwi and Rafael.
- Wilson, P & McFarlane, J& Malecha, A& Lemmey, D& Schultz, P (2000). *Severity of Violence Against Women by Intimate Parents and Associated Use of Alcohol and or Illicit Drugs by The Perpetrator*. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, Vol. 9, No. 15, PP: 996-709.

Yoshihama, M. (2003), Battered women's coping strategies and psychological distress: Differences by immigration status. American Journal of community psychology, Vol. 30, No. 3, PP: 429-450.

()



/ /

:

.

: (x) :

()	()	
40-31 ()	30 ()	

51 ()	50-41 ()	
10-6 ()	5 ()	
16 ()	15-11 ()	
()	()	
()	()	

(x) :

:

						:
						1
						2
						3
						4
						5
						6
						7
						8
						9

						10
						11
						12
						:
						13
					()	14
						15
						16
						17
						18
					() ()	19
						20
						:
						21
						22
						23

						24
						25
					()	26
						27
						:
					()	28
					()	29
					()	30
						31
						32
						33
						34
						35
						:
						36
						37
						38
						39
						40

						41
						:
) (42
						43
						44
					()	45
						46
						47

()



/ /

:

.

:

(x)

:

()	()	
-----	-----	--

40-31 ()	30 ()	
51 ()	50-41 ()	
10-6 ()	5 ()	
16 ()	15-11 ()	
()	()	
()	()	

(x) :

:

						:
						1
						2
						3
						4
						5
						6
						7
						8

						9
						10
						11
						12
						:
						13
					()	14
						15
					() ()	16
						17
						18
						19

					()	20
					()	21
						:
						22
						23
						24
						25
						26
						27
					()	28
						29
						:
					()	30
					()	31
					()	32
						33

						34
						35
						36
						37
					()	38
						:
						39
						40
						41
						42
						43
						44
						:
) (45
						46
						47
						48

					()	
						49
						50

()
